

Research paper

**Empirical Analysis of the Causal Relationship between electricity
consumption and economic Growth in Nigeria**

Akinwale Y., Jesuleye O., and Siyanbola, W.

National Centre for Technology Management, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria

Abstract

This paper investigates the relationship between electricity consumption and real GDP growth in Nigeria for the period of thirty six years (1970-2005). The paper adopts Vector Auto regressive (VAR) and Error Correction model (ECM) model to test the causality between real GDP and electricity consumption. The order of integration of the two variables was determined using Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test which was followed by co-integration and causality test. The result shows that there is unidirectional causality from real GDP to electricity consumption without a feedback effect. This could be attributed to the low level of electricity consumption, engendered by low level of electricity generation, which is too small to cause economic growth. There is need for government to diversify the energy mix to include all the untapped potentials of renewable power options such as small hydro, wind, solar and biomass among others in all the states and local constituencies. It is also suggested that government should provide policies which will create an enabling environment for the private sectors to generate electricity from renewable sources in terms of fiscal incentives such as tax rebate, subsidies, and low import duties for the imported equipment among others. Furthermore, there is a need to review the 2003 National energy policy so as to come up with a sound, robust and technological energy policy that will be able to solve the challenges of the electricity sector. Political commitment through investment in energy infrastructures and capacity building of the citizens in renewable energy technologies are critical towards the improvement of the electricity generation, which could then cause electricity consumption to have a significant impact on economic growth in Nigeria

Key words: electricity consumption, causality, renewable energy, technology, government

33

34 **1.0 Introduction**

35 Energy sector remains very critical towards the development of all other sectors of the
 36 economy. There is diverse energy and environmental challenges facing the entire globe
 37 ranging from carbon emission, flood risk, and melting glacier among others. Some countries
 38 such as China and India still use coal in large quantities as their major source of energy. This
 39 may be as a result of its abundance or cheapness in these locations. Conversely, most of the
 40 developed nations are exploring diverse ways of reducing carbon emissions from this energy
 41 source. This is due to its catastrophic effects on the global environment as it has been
 42 established that energy related CO₂ accounts for almost two-third (2/3) of the total green
 43 house gas emission. According to World Energy Outlook (WEO, 2009), approximately 1.5
 44 billion people which represents 22% of the world's population mostly in rural South Asia and
 45 Sub-Saharan Africa do not have access to electricity. This trend may continue as 1.3 billion
 46 people are projected to still lack access to electricity by the year 2030 despite the widespread
 47 of advanced technology. This has made some developing nations engage in policies that
 48 could improve their electricity generation to improve their national productivity regardless of
 49 the sources.

50 Many authors have studied not only the correlation and relationship between
 51 electricity consumption and economic growth but also the direction of causality between the
 52 two variables. The purpose of establishing the causal direction is an important phenomenon
 53 in making policy recommendation for the government. This will assist the government in
 54 formulating future electricity policies such as investing more in the electricity sector when
 55 electricity consumption causes economic growth, or engaging in electricity conservation
 56 program when economic growth causes electricity consumption. The direction of causality
 57 can be unidirectional, bidirectional or no causality between electricity consumption and
 58 economic growth. Unidirectional causality can run from electricity consumption to economic
 59 growth and vice versa. If causality runs from electricity consumption to economic growth, it
 60 means that reduction in electricity consumption in such a country could lead to fall in the
 61 economic growth while if it runs from economic growth to electricity consumption, it implies
 62 that policies for reducing electricity consumption may be adopted and implemented with little
 63 or no adverse effects on economic growth (Yoo, 2006; Emeka, 2010). Bidirectional causality
 64 shows that increase/decrease in one of them lead to increase/decrease on the other which
 65 means that they complement each other. Conversely, no causality between the two variables

implies that government can adopt policy of either increasing or decreasing the electricity consumption and/or economic growth without any negative effect on the other.

This paper therefore seeks to investigate the causality between electricity consumption and economic growth in Nigeria for the purpose of making sound policy recommendations to the government so as to harness the country's STI capacity and capability building in the power sector towards achieving the national transformation agenda. This paper is divided into five sections with section one introducing the paper. Section two discusses the overview of electricity situation in Nigeria, and related past literatures are reviewed in section three. Section four presents the methodology and data analysis while policy recommendations and conclusion are presented in section five.


2.0 Overview of the state of affairs of the Nigerian electricity sector

There is no doubt that electricity is essential in the socio-economic and technological development of any nation. The history of electricity in Nigeria dates back to 1896 when two generating sets were installed to produce electricity in Lagos, fifteen years after its introduction in England (Sambo, 2008). In the 1950s, the demand for electricity was below its supply and the industry was able to meet the country's need at that period; however, the demand for electricity gradually increased and later outstripped supply as industrialisation set in (Makwe, Akinwale and Atoyebi, 2012). Though electricity production has existed for more than a century in Nigeria, yet its development has been very slow. Electricity Corporation of Nigeria (ECN) was established in 1950 to be responsible for electricity supply and development in Nigeria. Niger Dams Authority (NDA) was also established by an act of parliament in 1962. This authority was responsible for the construction and maintenance of dams and other works on the River Niger and other hydro generating plants. Electricity produced by the NDA was sold to ECN for distribution and sales at utility voltages (Okoro and Chikuni, 2007). In 1972, Nigerian Electric Power Authority (NEPA) was established by the Government by merging ECN and NDA. NEPA has since operated as a government-controlled monopoly responsible for power generation, transmission, and generation. Subsequent to the introduction of the Electric Power Sector Reform Act in 2005, NEPA was transformed into the Power Holding Company of Nigeria (PHCN) which was later unbundled into 18 companies, including 6 generators, 11 distributors and 1 transmission company. These companies are responsible to carry out the functions relating to the generation, transmission, trading, distribution and bulk supply as well as resale of electricity (NTWG,

2009). The reform has been able to introduce new set of players such as the Independent Power Producers (IPPs) and Nigeria Electricity Liability Management Company (NELMCO). The power sector is now regulated by the National Electricity Regulatory Commission (NERC) under the Federal Ministry of Power.

Despite the huge endowment of petroleum resources with estimated reserves of 35 billion barrels and 187 trillion cubic feet for crude oil and natural gas respectively, Nigeria is presently characterized by incessant power shortages and poor power quality. The gap between the electricity demand and supply continues to get wider yearly as industrialisation and population increase. This may be a very good sign for economic growth if the government is able to improve the electricity supply in the country. Approximately 40% of Nigerians have access to electricity (EIA, 2007), with only about 30% of their demands being met. Also, recurrent outages of power supply has forced about 90% of those in industrial sector and a significant number of household residential customers to provide their own power through different forms of generating sets at a huge cost to themselves and to the Nigerian economy. As at 2010, the estimated total installed capacity of the combined hydro and thermal power stations was 8,000 MW, whereas the power generation capacity available was approximately 4,000MW from both PHCN and IPPs out of which only about 1500 MW was readily available to generate electricity (ADB report, 2009). The source of electricity production in Nigeria over the last 50 years varied from gas-fired, oil-fired, hydroelectric power stations to coal-fired, with hydroelectric power system and gas-fired system taking precedence. According to Sambo (2008), large hydroelectric power stations accounted for about 31.30% of grid electricity generation as at 2005 while natural gas power stations accounted for 68.30%. There are three hydro and seven thermal generating stations in Nigeria as at 2011. These have not been operating at full capacity and hence not sufficient to meet the electricity need of the country. Nigeria is said to be blessed with abundant solar and wind energy, which are yet to be fully tapped in generating electricity. This may be due to the failure of the past government to encourage private sectors to utilise science, technology and innovation (STI) in producing electricity from other sources such as wind, solar and coal. Currently, it is estimated that the demand for electricity is above 10,000MW and it is expected to grow in the future. Electricity consumption in Nigeria is among the lowest in the world which is estimated to about 125 kWh per capita. Figure 1 below shows the electricity consumption per capita in the Sub-Sahara African (SSA) countries.


133 **Fig. 1: Electricity Consumption (in Kwh) per capita in selected Sub-Sahara African countries**



134
135 Source: World Bank Data Bank

136 Fig. 1 shows that Nigeria has the lowest electricity consumption per capita among the three
137 SSA countries considered. As at 2009, while the electricity consumption per capita of Nigeria
138 was 120.51 kwh, that of Ghana and Angola were 265.11kwh and 202.15kwh respectively.
139 Also, Fig. 2 shows the electricity consumption per capita of the BRICS countries vis-a-vis
140 that of Nigeria.

141
142 **Fig. 2: Electricity Consumption (in Kwh) per capita among the BRICS countries**



143
144 Source: World Bank Data Bank

145 Fig. 2 above shows that the level of electricity consumption in each of the BRICS countries is
146 higher than that of Nigeria. Despite the fact that China and India have the highest population

in the world, the electricity consumption per capita in each of them are 2,631.4kwh and 570.93kwh respectively as at 2009. Russia has the highest consumption level at 6,132.98 per capita among the BRICS followed by South Africa which has 4,532.02kwh per capita in 2009. This implies that the level of electricity consumption in Nigeria is very low compared with that of the top economies in the world. Thus, Nigeria's electricity sector is facing various challenges which affect the supply and demand of electricity.

The challenges facing the power industry in Nigeria are numerous ranging from generation to distribution of electricity. Ibitoye and Adenikinju (2007) stated that ageing of power plants, poor maintenance and dearth of funds are some of the factors that could be responsible for the sub-optimal operation in the power sector. Odularu (2009) argued that inefficiency, inadequacy and poor maintenance of facilities to boost electricity supply has also been a major cause of the increasing gap between demand and supply of electricity in Nigeria. Thus, the challenges of power sector in Nigeria cut across economical, social, political, environmental and technical. The Presidential Task Force on Power Project (PTFP) estimated the sector needs on a yearly basis at N520 billion (US\$3.5billion) to increase generating capacity from approximately 4000MW to 13000MW by 2013. The transmission network is also facing serious challenges as the network is overloaded with a wheeling capacity less than 4,000 MW. Thus, there are significant line voltage and power losses as high as 25% (compared with 3% in the US and 0.5% in Japan), in the transmission systems due to the large average distances between 300 and 500km over which electrical energy is distributed. The obsolete state of the transmission network makes Nigeria experience double-digit transmission and distribution losses, which are quite high by international standards (Iwayemi, 2008). The Nigerian government is currently committed to improving the performance of the power sector by providing an enabling environment for private investors. This includes an upward revision of the power tariff within the multi-year tariff order (MYTO) to a cost reflective upper limit on end user tariffs. Recently, a number of potential private investors have been selected through a competitive bidding process for all the successor companies so as to ensure proper privatisation of the unbundled entities.

3.0 Literature Review

This section reviews the past literatures on the causal relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth across the globe. It is important to note that there have not been single results from the studies of various authors which may be due to the methodology adopted, the nature of the data used or the time-frame of the data.

The work of Kraft and Kraft (1978) was among the earliest studies in this area. They used annual data of gross national product and energy consumption of the United States between the year 1947 and 1974. It was found that there was a strong unidirectional causality running from gross national product to energy consumption. Hence, they concluded that the level of economic activities may influence energy consumption, but the level of gross energy consumption has no causal influence on economic activities. Virtually the same set of data with just an additional five years between 1947 and 1979 for the United States was used by Yu and Hwang (1984) and they found that no causality exists between energy consumption and gross national product over the sample period. Aqeel and Butt (2001) ran a cointegration on energy and economic growth in Pakistan. The study found that increase in electricity consumption in developing countries like Pakistan leads to economic growth rather than the other way round. Altinay and Karagol (2005) investigated causal relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in Turkey for the period between 1950 and 2000. The Granger causality test conducted showed strong evidence of unidirectional causal relationship running from electricity consumption to GDP growth. Yang (2000) found bi-directional causality between electricity consumption and economic growth in Taiwan. Gurgul and Lach (2012) using quarterly data of Poland from year 2000 to 2009 found a feedback between total electricity consumption and GDP as well as between total electricity consumption and employment. Also, Yoo (2005) investigated both short and long run causal relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in the Korean Republic. He made use of cointegration and error correction procedures and found out that there exists bidirectional causal relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in the Korean economy. This implies that growth in electricity consumption directly promotes economic growth through expansion in energy dependant economic activities and sustained economic growth in the process also stimulates electricity consumption. Shiu and Lam (2004) showed that real GDP and electricity consumption in China are cointegrated and also found unidirectional granger causality running from electricity consumption to real GDP growth. Also, Wang, Tian and Jin (2005) used cointegration and error correction model to examine

the causal relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth of China between the period 1953 and 2003. Their study showed that real GDP and electricity consumption in China are cointegrated, and the trend exhibits unidirectional causality running from electricity consumption to real GDP growth.

Chen, Kuo and Chen (2007) estimated the relationships between GDP and electricity consumption in 10 newly industrializing and developing Asian countries using both single data sets and panel data procedures. The empirical results from single data sets indicate that the causality directions in the 10 Asian countries are mixed while there is a unidirectional short-run causality running from economic growth to electricity consumption and a bi-directional long-run causality between electricity consumption and economic growth if the panel data procedure is implemented. They therefore concluded that electricity conservation policies through both rationalizing the electricity supply efficiency improvement to avoid the wastage of electricity and managing demand side to reduce the electricity consumption without affecting the end-user benefits could be initiated without adverse effect on economic growth in most of the countries studied. Also, Wolde-Rufael (2004) tested long-run causal relationship between electricity consumption per capita and real gross domestic product (GDP) per capita for 17 African countries for the period 1971 to 2001. The study employed cointegration and Granger causality test. The results showed that there exists a long-run relationship between electricity consumption per capita and real GDP per capita among nine (9) of the countries studied and Granger causality for twelve (12) countries out of the seventeen (17) studied. Unidirectional causality running from real GDP per capita growth to electricity consumption per capita was found for six countries and the reverse for three (3) of the countries. Thus, despite the evidence of long-run relationship between economic growth and electric energy consumption, direction of causality could vary significantly.

Kouakou (2011) investigated the relationship between the growth of economic activities and electricity consumption in Cote d'Ivoire using data from 1971 to 2008. GDP per capita and industry value added were used to measure economic activities. The empirical results, through cointegration and an error correction model, indicated that there is a relationship between economic growth and electricity consumption and there is a bidirectional relationship running from electricity consumption to economic growth and from economic growth to electricity use in the short run while the long run estimates show that there is a unidirectional causality running from electricity consumption to economic growth. Consequently, a shortfall in the supply of power supplies will certainly impair economic activities in the country. Yuan et al (2007) applied the cointegration theory to examine the

causal relationship between electricity consumption and real GDP for China during 1978–2004 and the estimation results indicate that real GDP and electricity consumption for China are cointegrated and there is only unidirectional Granger causality running from electricity consumption to real GDP but not the vice versa.

Akinlo (2009) showed that real GDP and electricity consumption in Nigeria are co-integrated using the data between 1980 and 2006 and there is only unidirectional Granger causality running from electricity consumption to GDP. Ighodaro (2010) also established that causality runs from electricity consumption to economic growth as well as from gas utilization to economic growth while causality runs from economic growth to domestic crude oil production. Emeka (2010), however, using annual data covering the period 1978 to 2008 estimated that real GDP and electricity consumption for Nigeria are co-integrated and there is unidirectional Granger causality running from real GDP to electricity consumption with no feedback effect.

4.0 Methodology and Data Analysis

This section describes the method adopted in determining the causal direction between electricity consumption and GDP growth as well as the analyses of the data used.

4.1 Methodology

This paper adopted Vector Auto regressive (VAR) and Error Correction model (ECM) model to test the causality between real GDP and electricity consumption in Nigeria. The order of integration of the two variables was determined using Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test. This is followed by co-integration, and then causality test. These tests are explained below.

4.1.1 Stationary test, Cointegration and Granger Causality test

A stationary time series refers to the series with a constant mean, constant variance, and constant autocovariances for each given lag (Brooks, 2008). The use of non-stationary data usually leads to spurious regressions. Thus, there is need to conduct a Unit root test to determine the order of integration of the variables using the ADF test (Dickey and Fuller, 1979). The Dickey Fuller regression $\Delta y_t = \pi y_{t-1} + u_t$ can be tested whether or not Null hypothesis suggests that the series has stochastic trend i.e if H_0 cannot be rejected, then the series has a unit root but if otherwise, then the series does not have a unit root. When time series data contains unit root, it is therefore necessary to remove the unit root by differencing

the data by d times in order to make them stationary i.e $I(d)$. When both series are integrated of the same order, then the presence of cointegration could be examined. A set of variables is cointegrated once the linear combination of them is stationary. Though many time series data are non-stationary but move together over time which means that the two series are bound by some relationship in the long run. Thus, a linear combination of two or more non-stationary series which have the same order of integration may be stationary (Engle and Granger, 1987), and when such a stationary linear combination subsists, the series are considered to be cointegrated and long-run equilibrium relationships exist. However, if there were no cointegration, there would be no long-run relationship binding the series together, so that the series could wander apart without bound (Brooks, 2008). Since all linear combinations of the series would be non-stationary and hence would not have a constant mean that would be returned to.

Granger causality test has been widely used in related literatures to determine the direction of causality between one variable and another. The Granger (1969) test states that, if past values of a variable Y significantly contribute to forecast the value of another variable X , then Y is said to Granger cause X and vice versa, but if the past values of both variables significantly contribute to forecast each other, then it results to bi-directional causality. This can be shown in the equations below.

$$Y_t = \gamma_0 + \sum_{z=1}^p \gamma_z Y_{t-z} + \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i X_{t-1} + \mu_t \quad \text{Eq.... 1}$$

$$X_t = \phi_0 + \sum_{z=1}^p \delta_z X_{t-z} + \sum_{i=1}^q \psi_i Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad \text{Eq.... 2}$$

From the two equations above, Y_t and X_t represent the variables to be tested, γ_0 and ϕ_0 are the intercepts, μ_t and ε_t are respective error terms, t denotes time period, while z and i are the number of lags. The null hypothesis is $\lambda_i = \psi_i = 0$ for all i 's versus the alternative hypothesis that $\lambda_i \neq 0$ and $\psi_i \neq 0$ for at least some i 's if the coefficient λ_i are statistically significant but ψ_i are not then X causes Y and vice versa. But if both coefficients are significant then causality runs both ways (Ighodaro, 2010). Applying the error correction framework, one may determine the direction of causation between observed variables while providing estimates on both long run and short run pattern. Co-integration provides information about

the long run relation among the variables while granger causality tests provide information on short run dynamics (Rahmaddi and Ichihashi, 2011). This can be captured via ECM as stated below:

$$\Delta y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \Delta y_{t-1} + \beta_2 \Delta x_{t-1} + \theta (y_{t-1} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 x_{t-1}) + u_t \quad \text{Eq.... 3}$$

If y_t and x_t are both I(1), and co-integrate, then all elements at RHS of the equation 3 are stationary i.e I(0). The error correction term is represented by $y_{t-1} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 x_{t-1}$, and θ which describes the speed of adjustment back to equilibrium measures the proportion of last period's equilibrium error that is corrected for and its value is expected to be negative ($\theta < 0$).

4.2 Data Analysis

The annual data used in this study are sourced from the Central Bank of Nigeria statistical bulletin from 1970 to 2005. The real GDP and electricity consumption are expressed in terms of =N= millions and Megawatts per hour respectively. Meanwhile, they are both further expressed in terms of natural logarithms where real GDP is LRGDP and electricity consumption is LELEC. The choice of the starting period was constrained by the availability of data on electricity consumption. All the tests in this paper are conducted with Eview7. Table 1 below shows the result of the Unit root test of both real GDP and electricity consumption in logarithmic form.

Table 1

Unit root tests of real GDP and electricity consumption						
ADF test						
Variables	Level			First Difference		
	t-stat.	p-value	Remark	t-stat.	p-value	Remark
LRGDP	-2.173	0.2192	Yes	-5.4511	0.0001	No
LELEC	-1.878	0.3381	Yes	-8.2998	0.0000	No
Test Critical Values						
1% level	-3.6394					
5% level	-2.9511					
10% level	-2.6143					

Table 1 displays the results of the ADF tests on the level of integration of the two series and it shows that each of the series was not stationary at level since p-values of ADF test calculated for the two series are larger than 0.10. This indicates that the series of all the variables are non-stationary at 10% level of significance and thus any causal inferences from

the two series in levels are invalid. However, after differencing it once, the series become stationary, and the null hypothesis of non-stationary is rejected. This implies that the variables are individually integrated of order one or $I(1)$. Having ascertained the stationary position of the variables and the integration of the two series to be of the same order, this study forged ahead to examine the existence of co-integration between the variables. Table 2 below shows the result of the co-integration using the Engle-Granger test.

Table 2

Cointegration Test - Engle-Granger		
Null hypothesis: Series are not cointegrated		
Specification: LRGDP LELEC C		
	Value	P-value
Engle-Granger tau-statistic	-4.1217	0.0129
Engle-Granger z-statistic	-23.9801	0.0066
*MacKinnon (1996) p-values		

From Table 2, the result shows that the null hypothesis, which states that the series are not co-integrated, is rejected given the p-value at 10% level of significance. The results in table 2 and appendix 2 indicate that the integrated variables have inherent co-movement tendency over the long run. Electricity consumption and real GDP are therefore co-integrated and this implies that there is a long-run relationship between electricity consumption and real GDP for Nigeria. Though the result shows that the variables are co-integrated, but the direction of causality was not shown. Thus, granger causality test was conducted through VAR/Exogeneity wald test in order to determine the direction of causality as displayed in table 3.

Table 3

VAR Granger Causality/Block Exogeneity Wald Tests		
Variables	Chi-sq	p-values
DLRGDP does not granger cause DLELEC	19.45241	0.0001
DLELEC does not granger cause DLRGDP	3.682984	0.1586

The result shows that the null hypothesis that real GDP does not granger cause electricity consumption is rejected but the null hypothesis that electricity consumption does not granger cause real GDP cannot be rejected. Contrary to a priori, the result implies that there is unidirectional causality from real GDP to electricity consumption without a feedback effect. This is similar to the result obtained by Kraft and Kraft (1978) for USA; Wolde-Rufael (2004) for Nigeria; Abaidoo (2011) for Ghana among others. This means that the past values of economic growth is useful to forecast the value of electricity consumption in Nigeria, whereas the past values of electricity consumption are not useful in forecasting the value of

economic growth. This might be as a result of the low level of electricity consumption in Nigeria which makes it insignificant in granger causing economic growth. The available electricity generating capacity of approximately 4,000 MW is too low to cater for population of almost 160 million. The low level of electricity generation leads to the low level of electricity consumption of about 120kwh. There is need for government to diversify the energy mix to include all the renewable power options such as small hydro, wind, solar and bio mass among others. Nigeria has huge untapped potentials of renewable energies. Small hydro plants can be developed in the entire 36 states of the country as well as every local government in each state to generate electricity for their local dwellers since there are large number of dams and rivers within the country. Government, through its agency like NASENI, can provide solar PV to generate electricity especially for the rural dwellers and also create an enabling environment for privately owned firms to operate. Government's support through funding, capacity building and fiscal incentives remain the key driver for renewable energies (WEO, 2010). Thus, steady investment in energy infrastructures and capacity building of the citizens in renewable energy technologies are critical towards the improvement of the electricity generation and consumption in Nigeria. It is believed that once the level of electricity generation and consumption improve drastically, then electricity consumption will be significant enough to cause GDP growth.

ECM model corroborates the long run causality between the electricity consumption and economic growth as the coefficient of the error correction term (ECT) is found to be significant in the real GDP equation which indicates that given any deviation in the ECT, both variables in the ECM would interact in a dynamic fashion to restore long-run equilibrium (see Appendix 4). The value of the ECT is -0.015 in the model suggesting that a deviation from the long run equilibrium level of the GDP in one year is corrected by about 1.5% the next year.

5.0 Conclusion and Policy recommendation

This paper has investigated the relationship between the economic growth and electricity consumption in Nigeria using data from 1970 to 2005. Time series data were presented and analyzed through ADF, co-integration, VAR and an error correction model. The study found that the series are non stationary at levels and the unit roots of the series were removed by differencing the series through the ADF test. The empirical results indicate that there is a long run relationship between economic growth and electricity consumption,

and the results established the existence of Granger causality running from economic growth to electricity consumption without any feedback effect which is similar to few of the results obtained by some authors in the past.

This study provides some recommendations which could assist the government in policy formulation and implementation. The causality from economic growth to electricity consumption shows that the level of electricity consumption in Nigeria in the past is so infinitesimal that couldn't cause economic growth. Thus, the present growth in Nigerian economy does not exclusively rely on the level of electricity consumption. So, conservation policy through efficient and proper management of new energy technologies in all sectors of the economy might not affect economic growth. This means that continuous growth of the economic activities in the country will invariably improve the level of electricity consumption. On the other hand, though government is in the right direction of deregulating the electricity market so as to allow private sectors to run it in a competitive manner, but there is need for the government to also invest in R&D and capacity building in the area of renewable energy technologies. The competition in electricity sector is expected to stimulate economic growth in the long run. Nigerian government needs to also commit its investment in the renewable energy technologies in harnessing STI to generate electricity from renewable sources such as wind, solar, and biomass among others. It is also recommended that the government should provide policies which will create an enabling environment for the private sectors to generate electricity from renewable sources. These policies might be in terms of fiscal incentives such as tax rebate, subsidies, and lower import duties for the imported equipment among others. This will reduce the extent of carbon emissions and energy poverty. Furthermore, there is a need to review the 2003 National energy policy even though most of the actions in that policy are yet to be implemented. A sound, robust, technological and implementable energy policy that will be able to solve the challenges of the electricity sector should be formulated and implemented in order to make the sector to start having more impact on the economy.

References

- African Development Bank Group Report, 2009. Nigeria Economic and Power Sector Reform Program, Pp 14-25
- Aqeel, A., and Butt, M., 2001. The Relationship between Energy Consumption and Economic Growth in Pakistan. *Asia-Pacific Development Journal*. Volume 8, Pp 101-109.
- Abaidoo, R., 2011. Economic growth and Energy consumption in an emerging economy: augmented granger causality approach. *Research in Business and Economics Journal*. Pp 1-15
- Akinlo, A., 2009. Electricity consumption and economic growth in Nigeria: Evidence from cointegration and co-feature analysis. *Journal of Policy Modelling*. Volume 31, Pp 681-693.
- Altinay, G., and Karagol, E., 2005. Electricity consumption and economic growth: Evidence from Turkey. *Energy Policy*, Vol. 27, Pp 849-856
- Brooks, C., 2008. *Introductory Econometrics for Finance*. 2nd edition. Cambridge University Press: London. Chp. 5-6, Pp 265-378
- Chen, S., Kuo, H., and Chen, C., 2007. The relationship between GDP and electricity consumption in 10 Asian countries. *Energy Policy*. Volume 35, Pp 2611-2621.
- Dickey, D., and Fuller, W., 1979. Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. Volume 74, Pp 427-431.
- Emeka, E., 2010. Causality Analysis of the Nigerian electricity Consumption and Economic growth. *Journal of Economics and Engineering*, Vol. 4, Pp 80-85.
- Engle, R., and Granger, C., 1987. Cointegration and error-correction: representation, estimation, and testing, *Econometrica*. Volume 55, Pp 251-276.
- Energy information Administration (2007). Country analysis briefs: Nigeria.
- Gurgul, H., and Lach, L., 2012. The electricity consumption versus economic growth of the Polish economy. *Energy Economics*. Volume 34, Pp 500-510
- Ibitoye, F., and Adenikinju, A., 2007. Future demand for electricity in Nigeria; *Applied Energy*, Volume 84, Issue 5, Pages 492-504
- Ighodaro, C., 2010. Co-integration and Causality Relationship between energy consumption and economic growth: Further empirical evidence for Nigeria. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*. Volume 11, Pp 97-111
- Iwayemi, A., 2008. Nigeria's Dual Energy Problems: Policy Issues and Challenges; *IAEE Energy Forum*, 4th Quarter, 2008.
- Kouakou, A., 2011. Economic growth and electricity consumption in Cote d'Ivoire: Evidence from time series analysis. *Energy Policy*. Volume 39, Pp 3638-3644
- Kraft, J., and Kraft, A. 1978. On the relationship between energy and GNP, *Journal of Energy and Development*. Volume 3, Pp 401-403.
- Makwe, J., Akinwale, Y., and Atoyebi, M., 2012. An Economic Assessment of the Reform of Nigerian Electricity Market. *Journal of Energy and Power*. Vol 2, Pp 24-32.
- National Technical Working Group report, 2009. Report on the energy sector for vision 2020.
- Odularu, G., and Okonkwo, C., 2009. Does Energy Consumption contribute to Economic growth? Empirical evidence from Nigeria. *East-West Journal of Economics and Business*. Volume 12, Pp 43-79
- Sambo, A., 2008. Matching Electricity Supply with Demand in Nigeria. *International Association for Energy Economics*. 4th Quarter, 2008.
- Shiu, A., and Lam, P., 2004. Electricity Consumption and Economic growth in China. *Energy Policy*, Volume 32. Pp 47-54.
- Wang, H., Tian, P., and Jin, P., 2005. Electricity Consumption and Economic Growth in China. *IEEE*, Vol 2, Pp 1331-1334.
- Wolde-Rufael, Y., 2004. Electricity Consumption and economic growth: a time series experience for 17 African countries. *Energy Policy*, Vol 34, Pp 1106-1114.
- World Energy Outlook, 2009.
- Yang, H., 2000. A note on the causal relationship between energy and GDP in Taiwan. *Energy Economics*. Volume 22, Pp 309-317.

- Yoo, S., 2005. Electricity Consumption and Economic Growth: Evidence from Korea. Energy Policy, Volume 33, Pp 1627-1632.
- Yoo, S., 2006. The causal relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth in the ASEAN countries. Energy Policy, Volume 34, Pp 3573-3582.
- Yu, E., and Hwang, B., 1984. The relationship between energy and GNP: further results, Energy Economics. Volume 6, Pp 186-190
- Yuan, J., Zhao, C., Yu, S., and Hu, Z., 2007. Electricity consumption and economic growth in China: Cointegration and co-feature analysis. Energy Economics. Volume 29, Pp 1179-1191.

Appendices

Appendix 1: Unit root test of Real GDP and Electricity consumption in Nigeria

Null Hypothesis: LELEC has a unit root
Exogenous: Constant
Lag Length: 1 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=9)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-1.878303	0.3381
Test critical values: 1% level	-3.639407	
5% level	-2.951125	
10% level	-2.614300	

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Equation
Dependent Variable: D(LELEC)
Method: Least Squares
Date: 09/19/12 Time: 15:45
Sample (adjusted): 1972 2005
Included observations: 34 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LELEC(-1)	-0.088115	0.046912	-1.878303	0.0698
D(LELEC(-1))	-0.366478	0.157347	-2.329105	0.0265
C	0.671368	0.308171	2.178559	0.0371
R-squared	0.218033	Mean dependent var		0.068715
Adjusted R-squared	0.167584	S.D. dependent var		0.173090
S.E. of regression	0.157922	Akaike info criterion		-0.769337
Sum squared resid	0.773118	Schwarz criterion		-0.634658
Log likelihood	16.07872	Hannan-Quinn criter.		-0.723407
F-statistic	4.321814	Durbin-Watson stat		2.070551
Prob(F-statistic)	0.022101			

Null Hypothesis: D(LELEC) has a unit root
Exogenous: Constant
Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=9)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
--	-------------	--------

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-8.299800	0.0000
Test critical values:	1% level	-3.639407
	5% level	-2.951125
	10% level	-2.614300

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Equation
 Dependent Variable: D(LELEC,2)
 Method: Least Squares
 Date: 09/19/12 Time: 15:47
 Sample (adjusted): 1972 2005
 Included observations: 34 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
D(LELEC(-1))	-1.355644	0.163335	-8.299800	0.0000
C	0.095189	0.030648	3.105897	0.0040
R-squared	0.682812	Mean dependent var		-0.005726
Adjusted R-squared	0.672900	S.D. dependent var		0.286822
S.E. of regression	0.164041	Akaike info criterion		-0.720376
Sum squared resid	0.861104	Schwarz criterion		-0.630590
Log likelihood	14.24639	Hannan-Quinn criter.		-0.689756
F-statistic	68.88668	Durbin-Watson stat		2.049155
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

500

Null Hypothesis: LRGDP has a unit root
 Exogenous: Constant
 Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=9)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-2.173115	0.2192
Test critical values:	1% level	-3.632900
	5% level	-2.948404
	10% level	-2.612874

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Equation
 Dependent Variable: D(LRGDP)
 Method: Least Squares
 Date: 09/19/12 Time: 15:48
 Sample (adjusted): 1971 2005
 Included observations: 35 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LRGDP(-1)	-0.086317	0.039720	-2.173115	0.0371
C	1.140335	0.464093	2.457123	0.0194
R-squared	0.125189	Mean dependent var		0.139765
Adjusted R-squared	0.098679	S.D. dependent var		0.362606
S.E. of regression	0.344251	Akaike info criterion		0.760553
Sum squared resid	3.910785	Schwarz criterion		0.849430

Log likelihood	-11.30968	Hannan-Quinn criter.	0.791233
F-statistic	4.722429	Durbin-Watson stat	2.020882
Prob(F-statistic)	0.037051		

501

502

Null Hypothesis: D(LRGDP) has a unit root

Exogenous: Constant

Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=9)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-5.451138	0.0001
Test critical values: 1% level	-3.639407	
5% level	-2.951125	
10% level	-2.614300	

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Equation

Dependent Variable: D(LRGDP,2)

Method: Least Squares

Date: 09/19/12 Time: 15:49

Sample (adjusted): 1972 2005

Included observations: 34 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
D(LRGDP(-1))	-0.963557	0.176763	-5.451138	0.0000
C	0.135428	0.068796	1.968555	0.0577
R-squared	0.481487	Mean dependent var		-0.001417
Adjusted R-squared	0.465283	S.D. dependent var		0.510751
S.E. of regression	0.373483	Akaike info criterion		0.925135
Sum squared resid	4.463668	Schwarz criterion		1.014920
Log likelihood	-13.72729	Hannan-Quinn criter.		0.955754
F-statistic	29.71491	Durbin-Watson stat		1.989213

503

504 Appendix 2: Cointegration Test between Real GDP and Electricity Consumption

Dependent Variable: LRGDP

Method: Fully Modified Least Squares (FMOLS)

Date: 09/19/12 Time: 15:52

Sample (adjusted): 1971 2005

Included observations: 35 after adjustments

Cointegrating equation deterministics: C

Long-run covariance estimate (Bartlett kernel, Newey-West fixed bandwidth
= 4.0000)

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LELEC	2.235314	0.187405	11.92769	0.0000
C	-2.882748	1.234122	-2.335869	0.0257

R-squared	0.855086	Mean dependent var	11.73161
Adjusted R-squared	0.850695	S.D. dependent var	1.399769
S.E. of regression	0.540871	Sum squared resid	9.653885
Durbin-Watson stat	1.372577	Long-run variance	0.433030

Cointegration Test - Engle-Granger

Date: 09/19/12 Time: 15:54

Equation: EQ01_COINT

Specification: LRGDP LELEC C

Cointegrating equation deterministics: C

Null hypothesis: Series are not cointegrated

Automatic lag specification (lag=0 based on Schwarz Info Criterion, maxlag=8)

	Value	Prob.*
Engle-Granger tau-statistic	-4.121731	0.0129
Engle-Granger z-statistic	-23.98014	0.0066

*MacKinnon (1996) p-values.

Intermediate Results:

Rho - 1	-0.685147
Rho S.E.	0.166228
Residual variance	0.252357
Long-run residual variance	0.252357
Number of lags	0
Number of observations	35
Number of stochastic trends**	2

**Number of stochastic trends in asymptotic distribution.

Engle-Granger Test Equation:

Dependent Variable: D(RESID)

Method: Least Squares

Date: 09/19/12 Time: 15:54

Sample (adjusted): 1971 2005

Included observations: 35 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
RESID(-1)	-0.685147	0.166228	-4.121731	0.0002
R-squared	0.332639	Mean dependent var	-0.017347	
Adjusted R-squared	0.332639	S.D. dependent var	0.614932	
S.E. of regression	0.502351	Akaike info criterion	1.489121	
Sum squared resid	8.580131	Schwarz criterion	1.533560	
Log likelihood	-25.05962	Hannan-Quinn criter.	1.504461	
Durbin-Watson stat	1.994999			

505

506

507 Appendix 3: Granger Causality Test

508

VAR Granger Causality/Block Exogeneity Wald Tests

Date: 10/05/12 Time: 13:21

Sample: 1970 2005

Included observations: 33

Dependent variable: DLELEC

Excluded	Chi-sq	df	Prob.
DLRGDP	19.45241	2	0.0001
All	19.45241	2	0.0001

Dependent variable: DLRGDP

Excluded	Chi-sq	df	Prob.
DLELEC	3.682984	2	0.1586
All	3.682984	2	0.1586

509

510 Appendix 4: ECM model

Dependent Variable: DLRGDP

Method: Least Squares

Date: 09/19/12 Time: 16:04

Sample (adjusted): 1973 2005

Included observations: 33 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
DLRGDP(-1)	0.611128	0.201822	3.028060	0.0052
DLRGDP(-2)	-0.126799	0.300889	-0.421415	0.6767
DLELEC(-1)	0.341624	0.684456	0.499118	0.6216
DLELEC(-2)	-0.250972	0.352063	-0.712861	0.4818
RESID01(-1)	-0.481205	0.185232	-2.597852	0.0148
R-squared	0.251677	Mean dependent var		0.143746
Adjusted R-squared	0.144774	S.D. dependent var		0.373268
S.E. of regression	0.345192	Akaike info criterion		0.849296
Sum squared resid	3.336411	Schwarz criterion		1.076039
Log likelihood	-9.013377	Hannan-Quinn criter.		0.925588
Durbin-Watson stat	1.900405			

511